S.B.CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.686/2006

Miss Ranjita Kumari
v.
Union of India & Ors.

Date of Order :: 21st September, 2007

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GOVIND MATHUR

Mr. P.S.Chundawat, for the petitioner.

....

By this petition for writ a challenge is given to allotment made in favour of the respondent No.4 relating to retail outlet dealership of the Indian Oil Corporation.

The only contention of counsel for the petitioner is that though the petitioner as well as the respondent No.4 are not residents of village Mandav where the retail outlet is to be installed but a preference must be given to the petitioner vis-a-vis the respondent No.4 as she is a resident of village nearer to Mandav.

I do not find any substance in the contention so raised. The priority as prescribed under the Advertisement Anx.1 is for the residents of village where retail outlet is to be installed. In the instant matter no person from village Mandav was available and, therefore, the respondent Indian Oil

Corporation after considering the candidature of the petitioner as well as the respondent No.4 awarded dealership to the respondent No.4 and while doing so less distance of the place of residence from village Mandav was no criteria.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(GOVIND MATHUR),J.

Kkm/ps.